



Nanoparticle-based suspensions and emulsions for enhanced oil recovery

A. Strekla^{1,2}, Ch. Ntente^{1,3}, M. Theodoropoulou¹ and Ch. Tsakiroglou^{1,*}

¹ Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Institute of Chemical Engineering Sciences (FORTH/ICE-HT), 26504 Patras, Greece

² University of Patras, Department of Physics, 26504 Patras, Greece

³ University of Patras, Department of Chemistry, 26504 Patras, Greece

* <u>ctsakir@iceht.forth.gr</u>

Contents of presentation

- Introduction
- > Overview
- Synthesis of Fe NPs and characterization
- > Tests of enhanced oil recovery in porous media models

➢ Conclusions

Introduction



- Energy consumption worldwide is expected to increase by 50% relative to current levels by the end of 2030.
- This growth is unlikely to be met by renewable resources, and thus there is a strong and growing demand for oil as a predominant energy resource.



- Globally the overall oil recovery factors for primary and secondary recovery range from 35% to 45%.
- A tertiary recovery method can enhance the recovery factor by 10-30%, which could contribute to energy supply
- The use of nanoparticles in enhanced oil recovery (EOR) processes comprises an emerging and well-promising approach.

Overview





| Measurements of Fe NPs suspension | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| рН | 6,02 | | | | |
| Eh (mV) | 499,1 | | | | |
| Surface Tension(mN/m) | Wilhelmy plate DuNouy | | | | |
| | 53.84 55.12 | | | | |
| Interfacial Tension (mN/m) | 19.41 (with n-C12) | | | | |
| Size (nm) | 132,43 | | | | |
| Z-potential (mV) | -25,5 | | | | |

Image : Solution of FeNPs

Properties of FeNPs



| fluids | Density d (g/mL) |
|---|------------------|
| Paraffin oil | 0.829 |
| NaCl 0.25M | 1.002 |
| Fe NPs 0.25(g/L) | 0.9931 |
| Fe NPs 0.25 (g/L) – NaCl 0.25M | 0.9814 |
| Fe NPs 0.25 (g/L) – NaCl 0.25M (Emulsion) | 0.833 |

Measurement ST and IT of suspensions:

The *static* surface/interfacial tension of aqueous phase/air and aqueous phase/oil was measured by using a tensiometer with DuNouy Ring.

| suspension | Surface tension (mN/m) | Interfacial tension (mN/m) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| NaCl 0.25M | 66.42 | 30.59 |
| Fe NPs 0.25(g/L) | 52.87 | 27.93 |
| Fe NPs 0.25 (g/L) – NaCl 0.25M | 50.03 | 20.72 |

Contact angles:

| Solutions | CA (°)/air | image | CA (°) / paraffin | Image | |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------|-------------------|-------|--|
| NaCl 0.25M | 53.85 | | 54.45 | | |
| Fe NPs 0.25 g/L | 53.05 | | 39.2 | | |
| Fe NPs 0.25 g/L – NaCl 0.25M | 55.0 | | 43.95 | | |

Size and z-potential:

The suspended nanoparticle size distribution was determined with dynamic light scattering (DLS), while the stability of the nano-colloids was confirmed by measuring the ζ -potential.

| solutions | Size (nm) |
|---|-----------|
| Fe NPs 0.25 (g/L) | 36.39 |
| Fe NPs 0.25(g/L) – NaCl 0.25M | 35.26 |
| Fe NPs 0.25(g/L) – NaCl 0.25M (Emulsion) | 220.2 |



Image : Dynamic light scattering

Zeta potential of FeNPs 0.25 (g/L) is -22.1 mV.

Pickering Emulsion



Suspension of FeNPs - oil (n-decane) Volume ratio 1:1



Ultrasound probe

With the aid of an ultrasound probe, the nano-colloids were mixed with oil (n-decane) to prepare Pickering emulsion of FeNPs 0.25g/L -NaCl 0.25M.



Pickering emulsion



Experimental setup of visualization tests on transparent pore networks





Values of Ca and ĸ

| Capillary number $Ca = \frac{\mu_{displacing U}}{IT}$ | fluid | Flow rate(10 ⁻⁶ /60) m3/s | U (10 ⁻⁵ m/s) | μ (Pa s) | IT (mN/m) | Ca (10 ⁻ ⁶) | К |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Views it water $\kappa = \frac{\mu_{displacing}}{\mu_{displacing}}$ | paraffin | 0.08 | 0.94 | 0.026 | 30.5 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| VISCOSILY FALLO $\kappa = \frac{\mu_{displaced}}{\mu_{displaced}}$ | NaCl 0.25M | 0.2 | 2.35 | 0.001 | 25.0 | 0.94 | 0.038 |
| $\mu_{emulsion}$ = 0.01246 Pa s | FeNPs 0.25 g/L | 0.2 | 2.35 | 0.001 | 25.0 | 0.94 | 0.038 |
| $\mu_{paraffin} = 0.026 \text{ Pa s}$ $\mu_{water} = 0.001 \text{ Pa s}$ | FeNps 0.25 g/L – NaCl 0.25M | 0.2 | 2.35 | 0.001 | 25.0 | 0.94 | 0.038 |
| Ca pore scale -> $Ca_{L1}=Ca*factor$ Ca network scale -> $Ca_{LN}=Ca_{L1}*L_N/L_p$ Drainage: $Ca_{L1}=0.0324$, $Ca_{LN}=3.2$ Imbibition: $Ca_{L1}=0.0038$, $Ca_{LN}=0.38$ | FeNps 0.25 g/L – NaCl 0.25M (emulsion) | 0.2 | 2.35 | 0.01246 | 25.0 | 1.17 | 0.48 |
| Tsakiroglou et al., AIChE J. 49(10), 2472 (2003) | | | | | | | |

Transient two-phase flow patterns

Primary Drainage

Displacement NaCl 0.25M by paraffin oil (red- colored) κ = 26.0 Ca = 8x10⁻⁶



Primary Imbibition

NaCl 0.25M $\kappa = 0.038$ Ca = 0.94x10⁻⁶



Transient two-phase flow patterns



FeNPs 0.25 g/L κ≈ 0.038 Ca = 0.94x10⁻⁶



FeNPs 0.25 g/L – NaCl 0.25M $\kappa \approx 0.038$ Ca = 0.94x10⁻⁶

Tsakiroglou et al., J. Coll. & Interface Sci. 267, 217-232 (2003) Tsakiroglou, J. Non-Newt. Fluid Mech. 117, 1–23 (2004)

FeNPs 0.25 g/L – NaCl 0.25M Emulsion $\kappa = 0.48$ $Ca = 1.17 \times 10^{-6}$





Results

| Type of | Displaced fluid | Displacing fluid | Flow rate | Injected | Oil | Oil removal |
|--------------|---------------------|---|-----------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| displacement | | | (mL/min) | volume (mL) | saturation | efficiency (%) |
| Drainage | NaCl 0.25M | Paraffin oil | 0.08 | 8.0 | 0.82 | - |
| Prim. Imbib. | Resid. paraffin oil | NaCl 0.25M | 0.2 | 8.0 | 0.45 | 46.25 |
| Sec. Imbib. | Resid. paraffin oil | FeNPs 0.25 (g/L)- water suspension | 0.2 | 14.4 | 0.36 | 20.0 |
| Drainage | NaCl 0.25M | Paraffin oil | 0.08 | 8.0 | 0.82 | - |
| Prim. Imbib. | Resid. paraffin oil | NaCl 0.25M | 0.2 | 8.0 | 0.41 | 50.0 |
| Sec. Imbib. | Resid. paraffin oil | FeNPs 0.25 (g/L)- NaCl 0.25M suspension | 0.2 | 14.0 | 0.39 | 4.88 |
| Drainage | NaCl 0.25M | Paraffin oil | 0.08 | 8.0 | 0.82 | - |
| Prim. Imbib. | Resid. paraffin oil | NaCl 0.25M | 0.2 | 8.0 | 0.44 | 46.34 |
| Sec. Imbib. | Resid. paraffin oil | Emulsion | 0.2 | 12.0 | 0.09 | 79.55 |

Conclusions

- The potential to increase the residual oil recovery efficiency by injecting suspensions of iron oxide nanoparticles synthesized and stabilized by the polyphenols of parsley extracts is investigated with visualization tests on a glass-etched pore network.
- The nanoparticles mobilize trapped oil by transferring it from upstream to downstream through a mechanism of successive steps of drainage (local increase of oil saturation) / imbibition (local decrease of oil saturation).
- It seems that the oil recovery efficiency of secondary imbibition tests is favored when using low iron concentration Fe NPs suspension without the presence of NaCl. On the other hand, the oil recovery efficiency increases respectably when using Pickering emulsions stabilized by FeNP with NaCl.

Acknowledgement

The research project is supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the "1st Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Faculty members and Researchers and the procurement of high-cost research equipment" (Project Number: HFRI-FM17-361, Title: Enhanced oil recovery by polymer-coated nanoparticles, Acronym: EOR-PNP, Duration: 1/1/2020-31/12/2022).



